Multimodel Management and Repair

I-MDE-A Seminar Adrian Rutle https://dblp.uni-trier.de/pid/00/5718.html

Ángela Barriga Rodríguez, Alejandro Rodríguez Tena Patrick Stünkel, Tima Kräuter, Fernando Macías Madrid – May 16, 2023 Western Norway University of Applied Sciences

Bakcground

Prof. at HVL (Western Norway University of Applied Sciences)

Worked on Model-driven software engineering since 2007

Interests: diagrammatic modeling, model transformation, multilevel modeling, multimodeling, behaviour modeling, softcat and DPF, model repair \subset model management, robotics, "ML/AI".

Model driven engineering in a nutshell

- The 3 A's: Abstraction, Analysis, Automation
- Features: Mapping, Reduction and Pragmatic
- Ingredients: Models, Metamodels and Model transformations
- Slogans: «everything is a model», «to model or to code, it is not a question»



Figure 2.3: Technical spaces examples and coverage.







What is a model?

What mathematicians call "specification"





Levels of abstraction



MultEcore

Multilevel modeling with "MultEcore"

Model Management

- Version control
- Global management (Multi-models)
- Persistence
- Interchange
- Comparison
- Co-evolution
- Quality
- Collaborative modeling
- Repair/restoration
- Composition

Global management

- Structure
- Behaviour
- Both
- 1. Define correspondence
- 2. «Put them together»/global model
- 3. Define consistency rules/constraints
- 4. Check the rules/constraints
 If not consistent, try to repair
 If global repair, propagate update to local
 5. After each local/global update, go to 4



Coverage

Payment

Payment

Collector

Invoicing

Invoice

ХМ

Diagnostic

Medication



Data-exchange

Model/scheme exchange

Interoperability

Different systems operate «together»

Fields



Coverage

Paymer

Collector

Invoicing

Multi-modelling: multiple systems (parts exist fir Multi-viewpoint modelling: viewing a system from different perspectives (hole exist first) Multi-paradigm modelling: languages of the parts belong to different paradigms (often continous systems, CPSs, real-time systems, robotics)

Methods

Composition: mostly languages and models (create whole) Coordination: mostly wrt. behavior Collaboration: often data and message/event exchange Synchronization: independent systems with notification Co-simulation: often for CPSs



Fig. 4.7: Trace-based Commonality Representation









Yale Doorman Classic Connected















65 mm Photoelectric Smoke Alarm Wireless Family

65 mm Thermal Heat Alarm Wireless Family

arm 78

78 mm Photoelectric Smoke Alarm Wireless Family









General Scheme: Consistency management





Feature model

Organising concepts in the steps



Ph.D. Patrick Stünkel



Fig. 4.1: Feature Model Overview





 $\mathsf{Offline} \land \mathsf{Delta}\text{-}\mathsf{Based} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Model} \ \mathsf{Differencing}$

 $\textbf{Operational Delta} \land \textbf{Model Differencing} \Rightarrow \textbf{Semantic}$

 $\mathsf{Delta}\text{-}\mathsf{Based} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Previous}\ \mathsf{State}$



Correspondence and commonality





Fig. 4.7: Trace-based Commonality Representation

Define consistency









Recall Violet's CroFlow cases









Figure 5 System relationship model of the traffic management system



Figure 6 Test system configuration

$$\Box \neg ((A_{green} \lor A_{amber}) \land (B_{green} \lor B_{amber}))$$
(1)

$$\exists \neg ((C_{green} \lor C_{amber}) \land (B_{green} \lor B_{amber}))$$
 (2)

$$\Box \neg (B1_{passing} \land (A_{red} \lor A_{red-amber}))$$
(3)

$$\Box \neg (B2_{passing} \land (B_{red} \lor B_{red-amber})) \tag{4}$$

SRM and global rules/constraints



Premises: The «states» and «state changing elements» in the participating languages



Figure 11 Atomic propositions Agreen and B1_{passing}

Property specification

35





Figure 13 System relationship metamodel

Define interactions



Generate global behavior
Formalization/Foundations

Structure: Categorty theory Behavior: Coalgebra



When things go wrong: repair





 $\mathsf{Solver} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Logic}$

PARMOREL: **Personalized and automatic** repair of models using reinforcement learning

General approach: Multi-models or not, this should work!

Ph.D.: Angela B. Rodriguez







PARMOREL: a framework for customizable model repair



Fig. 8 Running example for inconsistencies between UML class and sequence diagrams

😍 workspace - Modeling - platform:/resource/com.urbanisationSl.dmn.dmnEcore/model/dmnEcore.aird/dmnEcore - Obeo Designer Community

File Edit Diagram Navigate Search Project Run Window Help





Each issue → multiple solutions. Solutions are domain and user-dependant.





Each issue → multiple solutions. Solutions are domain and user-dependant. **Different types of issues and models.**



THE MODEL REPAIR FIELD rule-based "genetic algorithms history-based support systems interactive tools recommender systems ... FOCUS ON PERSONALIZATION AUTOMATION

















How can we apply RL algorithms in model repair?



Agent: The RL algorithm.

Environment: The model to repair.



State space: The state space is defined by the set of issues present in the model.

Action space: The set of editing actions able to repair a model.



Initial state: {issue1, issue2, issue3}

Final state: {}



Action space: deleteReference | Class | Attribute, setName(*name*), addReference | Class | Attribute

Reward: Rewards can be adapted to align with user preferences to personalize the repair result.

















Entries	
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	
entry2: issue1, addClass	



Q-value



Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	-500



issue3

Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	0



issue3

Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	0
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	-500
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500



issue3

Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	0
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	0
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500



Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	0
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	0
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
entry5: issue3, setName	-500
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500



Entries	Q-value	
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500	
entry2: issue1, addClass	0	
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	0	
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500	
entry5: issue3, setName	13.2 1	î
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500	





Repaired model #1

issue3

Maintainability

	Entries	Q-value
	entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
5	entry2: issue1, addClass	0
$\overline{\chi}$	entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	0
	entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
\checkmark	entry5: issue3, setName	13.2
,	entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500

Sequence #1:

{[issue1, addClass],[issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)], [issue3, setName]}



Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	0
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	0
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
entry5: issue3, setName	13.2
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500


issue3

Maintainability

	Entries	Q-value
	entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
\mathbf{A}	entry2: issue1, addClass	7.8
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	8.4
	entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
	entry5: issue3, setName	26.4
	entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500







Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	18.9
entry2: issue1, addClass	7.8
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	8.4
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
entry5: issue3, setName	26.4
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500



Repaired model #2

{[issue1, deleteReference], [issue2,
deleteAttribute(superClass)],
[issue3, setName]}



Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	18.9
entry2: issue1, addClass	7.8
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	12.5
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
entry5: issue3, setName	39.6
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500



Repaired model #3

Entries	Q-value
rentry1: issue1, deleteReference	37.8
entry2: issue1, addClass	7.8
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	12.5
rentry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	23.4
entry5: issue3, setName	39.6
rentry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	45.7

Sequence #3:

{[issue1, deleteReference], [issue2, deleteAttribute(children)], [issue3, deleteAttribute]}

Best model w.r.t. maintainability





Model distance



Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	-500
entry2: issue1, addClass	-500
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	-500
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	-500
entry5: issue3, setName	-500
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	-500

Model distance



Model distance

Maintanibility



Too personalized... learning and automation?

Is the Q-table reusable?

It depends...

		Preferences	
		Same	Different
Madala	Same	\checkmark	×
woders	Different	\checkmark	×





Maintainability AND Model distance

	Entries	Q-value
\checkmark	entry1: issue1, deleteReference	37.8
	entry2: issue1, addClass	7.8
	entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	12.5
7	entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	23.4
	entry5: issue3, setName	39.6
\checkmark	entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	45.7
		•

	Entries	Q-value
	<pre>rentry1: issue1, deleteReference</pre>	53.8
	entry2: issue1, addClass	10.2
\checkmark	<pre>centry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)</pre>	36.5
	entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	15.6
	centry5: issue3, setName	68.6
	entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	18.6

In isolation vs together	Entries	Q-value
in isolation vs together	rentry1: issue1, deleteReference	67.8
	entry2: issue1, addClass	26.5
	entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	43.5
	rentry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	47.4
	entry5: issue3, setName	72.4
	entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	34.7

Maintainability AND Model distance

Entries	Q-value	Maint	Distance
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	67.8	36.2	31.6
entry2: issue1, addClass	26.5		
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	43.5		
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	47.4		
entry5: issue3, setName	72.4		
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	34.7		

Qvalue decomposition

Maintainability AND Model distance

Entries	Q-value	Maint	Distance
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	67.8	36.2	31.6
entry2: issue1, addClass	26.5	13.5	13
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	43.5	11.1	32.4
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	47.4	35.2	12.2
entry5: issue3, setName	72.4	30.3	42.1
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	34.7	22.3	12.4

Transfer learning

Entries	Q-value	Maint	Distance
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	67.8	36.2	31.6
entry2: issue1, addClass	26.5	13.5	13
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	43.5	11.1	32.4
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	47.4	35.2	12.2
entry5: issue3, setName	72.4	30.3	42.1
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	34.7	22.3	12.4

x 0.2

Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	
entry2: issue1, addClass	
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	
entry5: issue3, setName	
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	

Transfer learning

	1		
Entries	Q-value	Maint	Distance
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	67.8	36.2	31.6
entry2: issue1, addClass	26.5	13.5	13
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	43.5	11.1	32.4
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	47.4	35.2	12.2
entry5: issue3, setName	72.4	30.3	42.1
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	34.7	22.3	12.4

x 0.2

Entries	Q-value
entry1: issue1, deleteReference	6.3
entry2: issue1, addClass	2.6
entry3: issue2, deleteAttribute(superClass)	6.4
entry4: issue2, deleteAttribute(children)	2.4
entry5: issue3, setName	8.4
entry6: issue3, deleteAttribute	2.4



What if preferences are not enough?



Maintainability - user feedback



Maintainability - user feedback



Multimodeling case



Parmorel fills the gaps, but does it make sense?





The extensible framework



The challenge

















Extensions




UML







Thank you for your attention!