

# An introduction to MDE: From toy to real-world projects in different application domains

**Cristina Vicente-Chicote** Quercus Software Engineering Group (QSEG) Universidad de Extremadura [cristinav@unex.es](mailto:cristinav@unex.es)

**I-MDE-A Workshop - IMDEA Software (Madrid) - 16 May 2023**





### **What comes to your mind when you hear the word MODEL?**





# mock-up function archetype **What comes to your metal you hear the word MODEL?** representation<br>UML reference



# **What is a model?**

- A model is a **simplified representation** of a certain reality [Bezivin, 2005]
- We can build different models of the same reality with different **purposes**.





# **What is a model?**

- A model is a **simplified representation** of a certain reality [Bezivin, 2005]
- $\checkmark$  We can also define alternative/complementary models according to different **viewpoints**, i.e., paying attention to certain features/parts. Each of these models will provide us with a partial/specific **view**





# **What is a model?**

- $\checkmark$  B. Selic identifies five key features for a model to be considered useful and effective [Selic, 2003]:
	- ‒ **Abstraction** → Represent a simplified/reduced version of the original system
	- Understandability  $\rightarrow$  Easy to understand by the intended users
	- ‒ **Accuracy** → Offer a faithful representation of the original system
	- **Predictiveness**  $\rightarrow$  Useful for reasoning about the original system
	- **Inexpensiveness**  $\rightarrow$  It should be easier/cheaper/faster to develop than the original system

**Software Engineering Group OUERCUS** UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADUR.

## **Models in Engineering**



**Models/diagrams/planes have been traditionally used in engineering for different purposes:** 

- $\checkmark$  To understand existing systems
- $\checkmark$  To specify, share and discuss with others the design of a new systems
- $\checkmark$  As a guide for system implementation
- $\checkmark$  As a prototype of a system to be built allowing us to detect errors, demonstrate or infer properties, etc. before implementing the actual system



# **Models in Software Engineering**

**UML** is probably the most widely known and spread in use software modeling language. In fact, it is claimed to be the *de facto* standard for software system modeling.

#### **Limitations:**

- UML models have been used (nearly exclusively) as documentation
- There is an important gap between models and actual system implementations due to…
	- ‒ The semantic gap between modeling and programming languages
	- ‒ The lack of tools supporting traceability and automated change propagation (model  $\leftrightarrow$  implementation)
- $\checkmark$  In most cases, models gathering different views of the system are not appropriately harmonized
- $\checkmark$  There is a lack of languages and tools enabling model management
	- ‒ Several model editors are available, but there is a lack of model compilers, code generators, model validators/simulators/optimizers, etc.



# **Model-Driven Software Engineering**

**Model-Driven Software Engineering (MDSE) is much more than just UML…**



#### **MDSE: Model-Driven Engineering**

- **MDD**: Model-Driven Development (Direct Engineering)
	- ‒ **MDA**: Model-Driven Architecture (1)
	- ‒ **DSM**: Domain-Specific Modeling
	- ‒ Software Factories
- **MDRE**: Model-Driven Reverse Engineering (Reverse Engineering)
	- ‒ **ADM**: Architecture-Driven Modernization (2)
- $\checkmark$  Adaptive Systems
	- ‒ Models@Runtime

(1)<http://www.omg.org/mda/> (2)<http://adm.omg.org/>



# **Model-Driven Software Engineering**

- $\checkmark$  All MDSE approaches aim at...
	- ‒ Helping software developers to address the complexity of current software platforms and their increasing number of abstraction layers
	- Significantly reducing coding errors (compared to manual software implementation)
	- ‒ Increasing productivity in software development processes



Enabling the definition of new modelling languages



# **Model-Driven Software Engineering**

- $\checkmark$  All the MDSE approaches share the following core features:
	- Each model represents (totally or in part) one aspect/view of a software system;
	- Each model is defined in terms of a modeling language, either a general-purpose language (e.g., UML) or a Domain-Specific Language (DSL);
	- ‒ A meta-model is used to formally define (the abstract syntax of) each modeling language;
	- ‒ Automation is typically achieved through the translation of models into code through model transformations.



#### **Model semantics**

 $\checkmark$  Semantics (from the Greek term σημαντικο'ς (semantikos) = "meaning"): Branch of linguistics concerned with meaning

‒ …

 $\checkmark$  What does this model mean? What reality does it describe?



- ‒ Transitions among states after intervals of time (in secs)
- ‒ Migratory flows among countries (in millions of people)
- ‒ Payments among people (in Euros)



#### **Model semantics → Interpretation**

- $\checkmark$  The meaning of a model depends on its interpretation. For instance:
	- ‒ Ellipses may represent states/countries/people
	- ‒ Arrows may represent transitions/migratory flows/payments



#### **One possible interpretation (meaning) of the previous model:**

If X, Y and Z represent people and the arrows represent payments:

- $X$  pays 4  $\xi$  to Y and 1  $\xi$  to Z
- Y pays  $6 \notin$  to Z
- Z pays  $9 \in \text{to } X$



#### **Model semantics → Transformation**

- $\checkmark$  The meaning of a model also relates with model equivalence/derivation
- $\checkmark$  For instance, given the previous interpretation, all the models included next are equivalent and can be derived from the others:





#### **Model semantics → Transformation**

- "A **theory** is a way to deduce new statements about a system from the statements already included in a model of such system" [Seidewitz, 2003]
- $\checkmark$  A theory is a set of deductive transformation rules that allow us to derive models from other models
- $\checkmark$  Example: "The debt theory"
	- ‒ **Rule #1 (addition)**: two arrows *A1* (with value *v1)* and *A2* (with value *v2*), with the same source and target can be replaced by a single arrow with the same source and target as the original ones and with value *v1* + *v2*, and *vice versa*.
	- ‒ **Rule #2 (difference)**: two arrows *A1* (with value *v1)* and *A2* (with value *v2*), with opposite source and target can be replaced by a single arrow:
		- ‒ Alternative 1: with the same source and target as *A1* and value *v1 v2*
		- ‒ Alternative 2: with the same source and target as A2 and value *v2 v1*.
	- ‒ **Rule #3 (cycle)**: The value of the arrows being part of a cycle can be all increased (or decreased) with a constant value.
	- ‒ **Rule #4 (null arrow)**: Arrows with value = 0 can be removed / added between any source and target.



#### **Model semantics → Transformation**





#### **Model semantics**

- $\checkmark$  Thus, in order to understand the meaning (semantics) of a model we must take into account:
	- ‒ How its concepts relate with the those being modelled (*interpretation*)
	- ‒ How it relates to other models (described using the same or a different representation) that can be obtained from it (*transformation*)
- *Interpretation* relates to the so-called *denotational semantics*, while
- *Transformation* relates to the so-called *operational semantics*

#### **Model syntax**

- $\checkmark$  **Syntax**: arrangement of words and phrases to create well-formed sentences in a language ([Oxford](https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/syntax))
- *Abstract syntax*: Set of valid terms (*dictionary*) + set of rules that explain how to combine them to create correct sentences (*grammar*).
	- In the context of MDE, the abstract syntax of a modeling language is usually defined using a *meta-model*. Alternative representations may be found, e.g., based on [BNF/EBNF](http://www.garshol.priv.no/download/text/bnf.html)
- *Concrete syntax* (a.k.a., *notation*): Set of (graphical or textual) symbols used to represent the modeling concepts defined in the abstract syntax.
	- ‒ Each modeling language has a unique abstract syntax, but there might be more than one concrete syntax built on it

#### **Abstract syntax (meta-model)**







**Model syntax**









#### **Software Engineering Group QUERCUS** UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA

## **Basic concepts**

**Model syntax**

 $\equiv$ 

**Model** *Defined in terms (as an instance) of the meta-model*



**Meta-modelling**



 **Meta-classes**: StateMachine, State (abstract), Transition, InitialState, NormalState, FinalState

**Software Engineering Group** 

**OUERCUS** UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADUR.

- **Attributes**: StateMachine.*name*, State.*name*, Transition.*name*
- **Compositions**: StateMachines **contain** *states* and *transitions*
- **References**: Each Transition **has** a *source* (State) and a *target* (State)
- **Generalization**: InitialState, NormalState and FinalState **are States**



#### **Additional language constraints**

- $\checkmark$  Most times, UML-like class diagrams are not expressive enough to define all the relevant aspects of a modelling language.
- $\checkmark$  Frequently, it is necessary to define additional constraints (a.k.a. invariants) to be hold by the systems being modeled (*well-formedness rules*).
- $\checkmark$  These constraints are usually specified using OCL (Object Constraint Language)
- $\checkmark$  Back to the State Machine example, how can we avoid reflexive transitions (i.e., from a state to itself)?

**context Transition**

**inv: ReflectiveTransitionsNotAllowed self.source <> self.target**

#### **Syntax + Semantics**

- **Modeling language**
	- ‒ **Semantics**
		- ‒ **Interpretation** (semantic correspondence) Defines the meaning of the language elements in terms of real-world concepts
		- ‒ **Transformation** (deductive theory) Relates equivalent models via deductive/transformation rules
	- ‒ **Syntax**
		- ‒ **Abstract**: logical structure of correct models (terms + grammatical rules)
		- ‒ **Concrete**: textual or graphical notation
- The **concrete syntax** depends on the **abstract syntax**
- $\checkmark$  Syntax and semantics are closely related. The syntax determines which expressions are correct, while the semantics provides non-ambiguous meaning to those expressions. The semantics of a language is not embedded in its syntax (i.e., in its meta-model) [Harel, 2004]



#### **Domain Specific Languages (DSL)**

- A **Domain-Specific Language** (DSL) is a modeling language, either textual or graphical, used to describe a particular semantic domain, e.g., a particular application domain
- $\checkmark$  All modeling languages are somehow domain-specific, although they may cover wider or narrower domains. For instance, UML is claimed to be a general-purpose (rather than a domain-specific) modeling language. However, it is somehow restricted, not to a particular application domain, but to object-oriented software development approaches.
- $\checkmark$  The abstract syntax of a DSL gathers the concepts relevant for modeling the target domain. These concepts must have a clear correspondence with those in the semantic domain (i.e., concepts with a clear meaning for the domain experts using the DSL). Thus, it is essential to select appropriate and unambiguous terms (and their corresponding graphical/textual representation) when defining the syntax of a DSL.



# "Toy" MDE projects



- **Project goal**: provide a graphical editor allowing therapists working with autistic children to easily define task workflows to be executed in an educational robot.
- Bachelor student: **Gloria Díaz-González**
- Supervisors: Cristina Vicente-Chicote, José Ramón Lozano-Pinilla.
- Material available at:<https://github.com/GloriaDG22/GeneracionCodigoCozm>







































#### **Results**

- ‒ The therapists we worked with really appreciated the tool as it allowed them to incorporate *Cozmo* as part of their therapies. They found the possibility of reusing/configuring their workflows in different therapy routines with different children particularly useful.
- ‒ Furthermore, they discovered that some of their children also loved programming the robot using PiLHaR  $\odot$ .
- ‒ The project is been supported by a regional business acceleration program and it has been recently awarded with the "UEX excellence and social engagement" price.



- ◆ Project goal: Provide software developers with a set of tools aimed at easing the specification, validation and visualization of Docker/Docker-Compose-based architectures.
	- Reduce the learning curve for novel developers.
	- ‒ Provide more experienced ones with new features, currently not supported by existing tools: automatic validation of the specifications, dual and synchronized graphic-textual representation, etc.
- Bachelor student: **Lorenzo G. Ceballos-Bru**
- Supervisors: Cristina Vicente-Chicote, José Ramón Lozano-Pinilla.
- √ Material available at:<https://github.com/elpiter15/CML>









grammar org.xtext.example.dockercompose.DockerCompose with org.eclipse.xtext.common.Terminals import "http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/example/dockercompose/DockerCompose" import "http://www.eclipse.org/emf/2002/Ecore" as ecore

DockerCompose returns DockerCompose:

- ( ('version:' version=Version)?
	- & ('services:' (services+=Service)+ )
	- & ('volumes:' (volumes+=Volume)+ )?
	- & ('configs:' (configs+=Config)+ )?
	- & ('secrets:' (secrets+=Secret)+ )?
	- & ('networks:' (networks+=Network)+ )?

```
);
```

```
Service returns Service:
```
#### {Service}

```
name=ID ':'
```

```
(
```

```
('build:' build=PATH)?
```
- & ('image:' image=Image)?
- & ('cpu\_count:' cpu\_count=EInt)?
- & ('command:' command=Command)?
- & ('container\_name:' container\_name=EString)?

```
& ('restart:' restart=RestartPolicy)?
```

```
…
```










# Real-world MDE projects

### **RoQME: Dealing with non-functional properties through global Robot Quality-of- Service Metrics** (H2020 RobMoSys Project)

- **Project goal**: provide software developers with (1) a modeling framework for specifying QoS metrics defined on non-functional properties (e.g., safety, performance, resource consumption, user engagement, etc.); and (2) a runtime infrastructure allowing them to estimate these metrics according with the perceived situation.
- **Project consortium**: UEX, UMA, Biometric Box
- $\checkmark$  General overview:
	- ‒ [https://robmosys.eu/roqme/](https://github.com/roqme/robmosys-roqme-itp)
	- https://robmosys.eu/wiki-sn-[03/baseline:environment\\_tools:roqme](https://github.com/roqme/robmosys-roqme-itp)-plugins
- $\checkmark$  Demo in an intralogistics scenario: [https://robmosys.eu/wiki/community:roqme-intralog-scenario:start](https://github.com/roqme/robmosys-roqme-itp)
- $\checkmark$  Project resources available at:<https://github.com/roqme/robmosys-roqme-itp>

### **RoQME: Dealing with non-functional properties through global Robot Quality-of- Service Metrics** (H2020 RobMoSys Project)

property Safety reference 1 property Performance reference 0.5

context Bump : eventtype context Velocity: number context PersonState: boolean context JobState: enum {NOT STARTED, STARTED, COMPLETED, ABORTED} context RobotState: enum {IDLE, CHARGING, DRIVING\_WITH\_LOAD, DRIVING\_EMPTY, ERROR } context TimeJobDone: time := period (JobState::STARTED -> JobState::COMPLETED)

observation O1 : Bump undermines Safety VERY HIGH observation O2 : Velocity > MAX\_V & PersonState undermines Safety VERY\_HIGH observation O3 : JobState::COMPLETED while(TimeJobDone<AVG JOB) reinforces Performance HIGH observation O4: RobotState::ERROR undermines Performance observation O5 : JobState::ABORTED undermines Performance



### **RoQME: Dealing with non-functional properties through global Robot Quality-of- Service Metrics** (H2020 RobMoSys Project)



An introduction to MDE: from toy to real-world projects 45

### **MIRoN: QoS Metrics-In-the-loop for better Robot Navigation**  (H2020 RobMoSys Project)

- **Project goal**: provide a modeling framework allowing designers to endow robots with the ability of self-adapting their behaviour according to the situation perceived at runtime. MIRoN allows designers to model:
	- Behaviour Trees (BT), describing both nominal and alternative robot behaviours;
	- ‒ Variation points (linked to tasks/parameters in the BT models), which determine the decision space of the adaptation process;
	- ‒ Contexts , expressed in terms of RoQME QoS metrics; and
	- ‒ Adaptation policies, explicating how to configure the variation points (i.e., the robot behaviour) depending on the perceived situation (based on RoQME QoS metrics) in order to optimize relevant non-functional properties, such as safety or performance.
- **Project consortium**: UEX, UMA, Blue Ocean Robotics
- General overview: [https://robmosys.eu/miron/](https://github.com/roqme/robmosys-roqme-itp)
- √ Project resources available at: [https://github.com/MiRON](https://github.com/MiRON-project/Miron-Framework)-project/Miron-Framework

### **MIRON: QoS Metrics-In-the-loop for better Robot Navigation** (H2020 RobMoSys Project)





### **MIRON: QoS Metrics-In-the-loop for better Robot Navigation** (H2020 RobMoSys Project)





# **Thank you!**



**cristinav@unex.es**

- **https://sites.google.com/view/cristina-vicente-chicote**
- **https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cristina-Vicente-Chicote**
- **https://www.linkedin.com/in/cvicente/**
	- **@cvicentechicote**